

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,560	04/13/2001	Feng Xu	6122.500-US	3855
25907	7590 07/06/2004		EXAM	INER
NOVOZYMES BIOTECH, INC.			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
1445 DREW A DAVIS, CA	- · · <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
•			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, , , ,	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	A ROTHUR L.	mas 1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear		neath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE3	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minin lt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror atute, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-2+	-07	·
This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193		ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1,2,4-8, (0-14,16,17,20,	22,24,25,35,39-	$-\frac{43}{3}$ is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
_ , , ,	·	
# Claim(s) 1, 2,4-8,10-14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 2	4, 25, 35, 39-43	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	•	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	cted to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
$\hfill \square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
	under 35 U.S.C. & 119 (a)-	-(d).
MAII □ Some* □ None of the:		(-)
Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been i		)
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	•	
in this national stage application from the International		a))
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO–948 □ Other □ Other □ Office Action Summary

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 42 and 43 are improper dependent claims since each depends upon a cancelled claim.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 24, 25, 35 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita in view of Fan et al.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph Nos. 6, 7 and 9, Paper No. Paper No. 011604. Further, finding the optimum amount of the enzyme (claims 35 and 41-43) would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita in view of Fan et al as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 24, 25, 35 and 39-43 above, and further in view of Judkins et al, Rogols et al or Stevens et al.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 8, Paper No. 011604.

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5,10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 24, 25, 35 and 39-43 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roan (claim 1) in view of Fan et al.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph Nos. 10, 11 and 14, Paper No. 011604.

7. Claims 6-8 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roan in view of Fan et al as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 24, 25, 35 and 39-43 above, and further in view of Yamashita.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 12, Paper No. 011604.

8. Claims 12 and 13 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roan in view of Fan et al as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 24, 25, 35 and 39-43 above, and further in view of Judkins et al, Rogols et al or Stevens et al.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 13, Paper No. 011604.

9. Applicant's arguments filed May 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although neither Yamashita nor Roan disclose treating potatoes with one of applicant's claimed exogenous enzymes, as applicant argues. Fan et al supports

Art Unit: 1761

the fact that an exogenous enzyme, viz. pectin methylesterase, will form in situ, and thus be present, during the heating of the potatoes in either primary reference.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday--Friday from 10:30 to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571)272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may is be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.L. Corbin/dh July 2, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

7-2-04